

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/509,265		MICHELSON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Scott J. Sugarman		2873	

All Participants:

(1) Scott J. Sugarman.

(2) Mr Levoritz.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

9-21

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 17:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 18 of the amendment of 12-13-06 was inadvertently missing in the amendment of 12-26-07. Examiner suggested doing an Examiner's Amendment listing all of the pending claims (including the claims added by amendment on 12-26-07) and the Attorney agreed. In addition to listing claims 9-21, the Examiner corrected a minor typo in Claim 17.